

CMU Strategic Pathways #4 Working Group
Meeting Minutes – February 24, 2020

18 attendees in room; 2 online

- Updates to committee
 - Draft format of reporting guidelines to follow sent to committee. This has not been approved yet.
 - Meeting schedules and timing
 - How is everyone feeling on timing? Do we need more time in between for subcommittee meetings?
 - Wondering what next steps are--are we still in discovery phase
 - Start to follow the draft report template to pull subcommittee data together
 - The work needs to be done in subcommittees with feedback from full committee
 - We have the advantage of having a steering committee member sitting on our committee, so we should get pretty direct feedback
 - Once subcommittees have data they feel they need, move onto working steps/recommendations
 - Full committee is available for feedback and meetings can be adjusted to work around whatever schedule is required
 - Pathway crossover items
 - Requested at last conveners meeting was to pull together items that have come up in this committee that relate more to one of the other pathways
 - Feel like pathway #1 might be having conversations that would pertain to our pathway
 - Intent is to have these as standing committees that will carry on
 - Looking at recommendations and being prepared for next steps
 - Committee members tasked with identifying any potential crossover topics and providing feedback prior to next meeting
- CMU Vision Statement, Mission Statement, & Core Values
 - Discussion on any updates/changes to those
 - These were sent out ahead of time to committee
 - Last updated in 2012
 - Request to table for next meeting to give members more time to review
- Subcommittee #1— Demographics
 - SARA reports were sent to demographics subcommittee, which seem to follow along with data already being gathered
 - Would like to get total online enrollment, but this does not seem to be reported anywhere officially
 - Would be nice to get the numbers of in-state versus out of state students
- Subcommittee #2— Next Generation
 - Early draft suggestion is to create a dedicated position on generational trends
 - This would be a single individual for 1-3 years, then possibly expand
 - They would look at emerging technologies as well as current and future student needs
 - They would have dedicated resources

- It is to be determined where this person would be housed, such as part of Academic Planning & Analysis (APA)
 - Access expertise we have in APA to make it more integrated rather than the silo that it is
 - Have a multi-tiered approach to address all generations of students as we still have older students as a market
 - Multi-modalities
 - Develop academic and co-curricular spaces
 - Offer counseling services tailored to the individual
 - Refresh rationale around investing in education
 - Educate faculty and staff to speak to that issue
 - Tie curriculum requirements into why the core courses are required, what they can offer our students' lives/careers
 - Students need to see value in what they are investing in
 - Suggestion to connect with Pathways #1—may reinforce each other's work (Nicole Sparling-Barco sits on both, so good resource)
- Subcommittee #3— Modalities
 - Jennifer Weible has been requested to be added to our committee
 - Can speak to modalities
 - She attended last board meeting and had good information
 - Still in holding pattern and waiting on data from other subcommittees to determine what types of modalities to offer
 - Suggestion on development of smart phone apps for students that would house information
 - Texas developed an app that houses students' wellbeing information
 - Students want to access information from their phones
 - Financial information, available services, etc.
 - Might need to be something to consider
 - Question:
 - Where does org redevelopment/job redesign fit into this?
 - What are we going to do organizationally to build capacity in order to help us change how we do things?
 - There will be things that we don't do at all in order to do other things really well
 - Recommendations to look at organizational structure may come out of these pathways' work
 - Our pathway is beyond where most of the other pathways are right now
 - We have the visionary work and others have implementation
 - There is urgency around some things, but they may be hindered by budgetary concerns
 - Board members actively participated in the first joint co-conveners meeting, which should lead us to thinking that this is important and changes will be implemented from this exercise
- Subcommittee #4— Affinity: no updates
- Process discussion on full committee meetings
 - Do not want to have these meetings just to have them
 - Decision made to push next full committee meeting out three weeks to give subcommittees more time to work

- Do we need additional resources? Anything needed from the bigger group? No
- Timeline for final product
 - June to present draft version at board meeting
 - —check to be sure that timeline was shared to Teams site
 - Final version will be due in September
 - We can send drafts to administrative liaisons ahead of time for feedback—encouraged to do so
- Subcommittees should identify who is going to be the writer in the group and let us know
- Share drafts to other pathways to see if there are crossover
 - Co-conveners meet regularly starting this week where they will be sharing progress on pathways' work
 - There is a site that all pathways will be sharing draft versions to once we get closer
- Dinner with the President
 - Thursday, March 5th at 5:30 pm
 - President Davies wants to thank us for serving on the committee
 - No real agenda or request for reporting

Action Items and Moving Forward

- Utilize next two weeks' meeting time for subcommittee meetings
- Review vision statement and provide feedback/changes
- Next full committee meeting will be Wednesday, March 18th at 3 pm