

DIRECTIONS: (1) Read the essay prompt and both essays. (2) Discuss the questions below with a partner.

ESSAY PROMPT: There is an ongoing debate in the public domain as to whether free public libraries are still practical in today's world. What are the implications for society of a "free" public library system? Has the time come for cities to consider requiring patrons to pay a fee to use library services?

Weigh the claims on both sides, and then write an argumentative essay supporting either side of the debate in which you argue for or against the free library system. Be sure to use information from both texts in your argumentative essay.

Argumentative Essay A

Although libraries once were important to communities, they have lost that importance and therefore should no longer be free to the public. Fees should be established for the services that the libraries provide. Tax payers should not bear the burden of operating libraries that they no longer use.

The article titled "Can We Afford 'Free' Libraries?" states that "the library is losing some of its relevance." This is true. People now have computers they can use to Google anything they want. They no longer have to go to a library to look through old books and newspapers to find the information they need. They can also use computers to purchase books for themselves and their children from Amazon. They can build their own libraries without having to drive or walk to the community library to look for books that may end up not even being there. The article also says that tax payer's money should go to other more important services, like EMT and fire departments. Saving people's lives is far more important than giving them a place to hang out. As the article points out, taxes should be used for these services because they "could save valuable jobs and services."

It's true that a library also employs people and provides services. Like the first article says, libraries do give people a place to meet, they help educate people, and they preserve history. But why must all taxpayers pay for these, especially if they don't use the services? Therefore, libraries should charge membership fees to belong. If people don't want to pay the fees, they can go to schools.

In conclusion, I feel that libraries should no longer be subsidized by tax payer's money. Libraries are a thing of the past. "The nonprofit public library is well over 100 years old." People who want a library should pay for it, and people who don't use libraries should use their money to support other community services.

Argumentative Essay B

Yes, libraries should still be free to everyone. Some people cannot afford internet or computers and can't learn information they need to know unless they have a library where they can do that. Other people need help with their taxes. Some people need a place to meet their friends where it's quiet and you can work.

Everyone has to pay a lot of taxes. Too much, I think. So we should get something from all those taxes that we pay.

Libraries help to make people smarter, like the article says. They help people self-educate and stop "brain drain."

Libraries also are a place where history can be saved. Like, important things about your community can be found out by going to the library.

They are a hub of community activity because they are a place where people can meet and learn things, like how to get ready for a test or how to babysit. If we didn't have libraries, people will not have a place to learn those things for free. They would have to pay for them and not everyone has the money to pay for those services.

People's taxes are needed for other services, too, like EMT and fire services, that are true. But that doesn't mean taxes can't still keep libraries open and free to the public. So I say, keep libraries free to keep people smart.